	Case 2:05-cv-00365-DFL-JFM Document 1	L4 Filed 05/03/05 Page 1 of 3
1	Joseph W. Rose, Esq.	
2	STATE BAR No. 232261 1671 Creekside Dr, Ste 102	
3	Folsom, CA 95630 (916) 984-7840	
4	WILLIAM F. WRIGHT, ESQ.	
5	STATE BAR NO. 109470	
6	1731 J Street, Suite 250 Sacramento, CA 95814	
7	(916) 442-8614	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	-00000-	
13	ANTHONY M. CARR, and DANIEL G. RAPOSE,	Case No.: 2:05-CV-00365-DFL-JFM
14	·	STIPULATION TO DISMISS SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF AND TO EXTEND FILING
15	Plaintiffs, v.	DEADLINE OF JOINT STATUS REPORT INCLUDING RULE 26(f) DISCOVERY PLAN
16	LAKE VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION	1,02021,02102220(1,22000,2112,2211)
17	DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 100, in their individual capacities,	
18	Defendants,	
19	Defendants,	
20		
21	WHEREAS, all defendants in the within action have been served; and	
22	WHEREAS, joinder of additional parties is not anticipated; and	
23	WHEREAS, no further amendment of pleadings is expected or desired; and	
24	WHEREAS, the parties have conferred regarding defendants' F.R.C.P. 12(b)(6) Motion	
25	for Partial Dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint now set to be heard on June 22, 2005, at 10:00 a.m.	
26	in the Courtroom of the Honorable David E. Levi, United States District Court for the Eastern	
27	District of California; and	
28		

WHEREAS, the parties will stipulate to dismiss Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief 1 relating to alleged violations of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law (Cal. Gov. 2 Code §§ 2000 et seq.); and 3 WHEREAS, the parties have conferred as required by Rule 26(f); and 4 WHEREAS, the dismissal of Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief will necessitate 5 additional time to complete preparation of the joint status report including the Rule 26(f) 6 discovery plan. 7 8 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties hereto, by 9 their respective counsel, that Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief alleging violations of the 10 California Public Employees' Retirement Law (Cal. Gov. Code §§ 2000 et seq.) is dismissed 11 from this action. 12 It is further stipulated, subject to approval of this Court, that paragraph (4) of the Court's 13 Order Requiring Joint Status Report be modified so as to direct filing of the joint status report and 14 Rule 26(f) discovery plan on or before May 11, 2005. 15 JOSEPH W. ROSE, ESQ. Dated: April 28, 2005. 16 WILLIAM F. WRIGHT, ESQ. 17 18 19 Joseph W. Rose 20 Attorney for Plaintiffs 2.1 /s/ William F. Wright as authorized on 2/23/05 22 William F. Wright Attorney for Plaintiffs 23 24 Dated: April 29, 2005 MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON 25 26 27 Arthur A. Hartinger Attorney for Defendants 28

Case 2:05-cv-00365-DFL-JFM Document 14 Filed 05/03/05 Page 2 of 3

Case 2:05-cv-00365-DFL-JFM Document 14 Filed 05/03/05 Page 3 of 3 IT IS SO ORDERED: Dated: April 29, 2005 DAVID F. LEVI United States District Judge